

Message

From: Fritz, Jason [Fritz.Jason@epa.gov]
Sent: 3/17/2017 8:30:19 PM
To: Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]
CC: Glenn, Barbara [Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: URT MOA
Attachments: FormaldehydeTRdraft072616_a_17 Mar_JF.docx

Heya,

I wasn't able to open and modify the TR draft from the SharePoint website this time: could be this loaner laptop (and some sharepoint permissions issues) or problems with OWA access today, I don't know.

I was able to download the draft, and make changes in track mode, which is not ideal.

I've attached that draft here, and also uploaded it to the sharepoint folder "tracking changes from authors" on your formaldehyde site. I only made changes to the text in the areas that you commented on, so they should be easy to find, and most of my changes to the text are in that genotox summary section. All my responses to your comments I started with "JF-" and highlighted in red. I tried to do what I could in the ~2-3 hours that I had to work with, but there were 3 major things that I didn't get to:

1. Figures - I didn't delete or move anything. If you want to delete the component figures, or move them, that's fine. They're not necessary, but they were requested by other manager(s). The text paragraphs that exist I think are still useful, and could still exist in the order they currently are (i.e. paragraph first, then associated table), or they could be "assembled together" with minimal necessary tweaking. The table formatting is terrible, but hopefully that's a tech edit fix.
2. Comment regarding how/why some things in the figures are "reliable", "sequealae", etc. Yep, good idea, and your suggested language looks pretty accurate, but I didn't have time to do that today. If only the full figures remain, then expanding the existing relevant definitions in the legend would probably be reasonable easy to do in a concise manner. If you keep the smaller figures, then putting that into text, and pulling the definition languages from the figure legends would probably be more appropriate.
3. References - I was mostly okay with deleting the references from the summary tables themselves. I am less comfortable with discussing data in the text, which is summarized in tables, which are introduced with only a general reference to a section elsewhere in the document in those intro paragraphs. But, I consider that an editorial choice, so I'll defer to you. If you want to do that, It should be pretty easy for a tech edit person or SCC to go through the section, and delete ONLY the references in parentheses that include a table reference.

Thanks,
jf

From: Kraft, Andrew
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 5:00 PM
To: Fritz, Jason
Cc: Glenn, Barbara
Subject: URT MOA

Hi Jason,

I skimmed through your section and tried to remember anything about it, and what we would need to ask you to do to make it responsive to the ERC review (and ready for next steps).

I added some comments in green highlight where I think you might want to look and see what can be done. I also made some edits in track changes (only a couple places) that you should check. And, I moved the genetox table to the appendix; I'm guessing you don't want to look at that.

Thanks, and just let us know what you couldn't get to when you have to stop and head out of town!
-Andrew

P.S.: work in the sharepoint version (TR draft "a" on the main sharepoint page under "current assessment drafts" [no subfolder]):

https://usepa.sharepoint.com/sites/ORD_Work/formaldehyde/SitePages/Home.aspx